9.1 Surface Parameterization
Viewpaint

The creation of a 3D assets surface, including that surface’s color, texture, opacity, and reflectivity (or specularity).
Rango: Creating creature scale textures in ZBrush...
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Mesh Parameterization

Find a 1-to-1 mapping between given surface mesh and 2D parameter domain.
Spherical Coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta \\
\phi
\end{bmatrix}
\rightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta \sin \phi \\
\cos \theta \sin \phi \\
\cos \phi
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Desirable Properties

Low distortion

Bijective mapping
Cartography

orthographic  stereographic  Mercator  Lambert
preserves angles  = conformal  preserves area  = equiareal

Floater, Hormann: *Surface Parameterization: A Tutorial and Survey*, Advances in Multiresolution for Geometric Modeling, 2005
More Maps
Demo: Parameterization
Recall: **Differential Geometry**

**Parametric surface representation**

\[ x : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \]
\[ (u, v) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x(u, v) \\ y(u, v) \\ z(u, v) \end{pmatrix} \]

**Regular if**

- Coordinate functions \( x, y, z \) are smooth
- Tangents are linearly independent

\[ x_u \times x_v \neq 0 \]
A regular parameterization $\mathbf{x} : \Omega \to S$ is

- **Conformal** (angle preserving), if the angle of every pair of intersecting curves on $S$ is the same as that of the corresponding pre-images in $\Omega$.

- **Equiareal** (area preserving) if every part of $\Omega$ is mapped onto a part of $S$ with the same area.

- **Isometric** (length preserving), if the length of any arc on $S$ is the same as that of its pre-image in $\Omega$. 
Distortion Analysis

\[ u = (u, v) \]

\[ x : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \]

\[ x = (x, y, z) \]

Jacobian transforms infinitesimal vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
    d\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{J}du \\
    \mathbf{J} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_u & x_v \\ y_u & y_v \\ z_u & z_v \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\|d\mathbf{x}\|^2 = (du)^T \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J} du = (du)^T \mathbf{I} du
\]
First Fundamental Form

Characterizes the surface locally

\[ I = \begin{pmatrix} x_u^T x_u & x_u^T x_v \\ x_u^T x_v & x_v^T x_v \end{pmatrix} \]

Allows to measure on the surface

- Angles  \[ \cos \theta = \frac{(du_1^T I du_2)}{(\|du_1\| \cdot \|du_2\|)} \]
- Length  \[ ds^2 = du^T I du \]
- Area    \[ dA = \det(I) \, du \, dv \]
A regular parameterization \( \mathbf{x}(u, v) \) is isometric, iff its first fundamental form is the identity:

\[
\mathbf{I}(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

A surface has an isometric parameterization iff it has zero Gaussian curvature.
Cylinder
Conformal Maps (A-Similar-AP)

A regular parameterization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is conformal, iff its first fundamental form is a scalar multiple of the identity:

$$\mathbf{I}(u, v) = s(u, v) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
Conformal Flow

Crane et al. Spin Transformations of Discrete Surfaces, ACM Siggraph 2011
A regular parameterization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is equiareal, iff the determinant of its first fundamental form is 1:

$$\det(I(u, v)) = 1$$
An isometric parameterization is conformal and equiareal, and vice versa:

\[
\text{isometric} \iff \text{conformal + equiareal}
\]

Isometric is ideal, but rare. In practice, people try to compute:

- Conformal
- Equiareal
- Some balance between the two
Harmonic Maps

- A regular parameterization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is harmonic, iff it satisfies
  \[ \Delta \mathbf{x}(u, v) = 0 \]

- isometric $\Rightarrow$ conformal $\Rightarrow$ harmonic

- Easier to compute than conformal, but does not preserve angles
Harmonic Maps

• A harmonic map minimizes the Dirichlet energy

\[ \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla x \|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \| x_u \|^2 + \| x_v \|^2 \, du \, dv \]

• Variational calculus then tells us that

\[ \Delta x(u, v) = 0 \]

• If \( x : \Omega \to S \) is harmonic and maps the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) of a convex region \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) homeomorphically onto the boundary \( \partial S \), then \( x \) is one-to-one.
Parameterization Goal

- Piecewise linear mapping of a discrete 3D triangle mesh onto a planar 2D polygon

- Slightly different situation: Given a 3D mesh, compute the inverse parameterization
Floater’s Parameterization
Floater’s Parameterization

• For Quadrilateral Patch

• Fix the parameters of the boundary vertices on a unit square

• Derive the bijection $u$ for each of the interior vertices $v_i$ by solving

$$u(v_i) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}(i)} \lambda_{i,k} u(v_k)$$

where $\lambda_{i,k}$ satisfies shape preserving criteria

and $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}(i)} \lambda_{i,k} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$
Floater’s Algorithm

- Compute for each \( i \) the \( \lambda_{i,k}, \ k \in v(i) \)

- Compute a local parameterization for \( v(i) \) that preserves the aspect ratio of the angle and length

- Compute \( \lambda_{i,k}, \ k \in v(i) \) that satisfies

  \[
  \text{Shape preserving criteria}
  \]
  \[
  \sum_{k \in v(i)} \lambda_{i,k} = 1, \ i = 1, 2, ..., n
  \]

- Solve the sparse equation for \( u(v_i), i = 1 \ldots n \)

  \[
  u(v_i) = \sum_{k \in v(i)} \lambda_{i,k} u(v_k)
  \]
Discrete Harmonic Maps

- Map the boundary $\partial S$ homeomorphically to some (convex) polygon $\partial \Omega$ in the parameter plane

- Minimize the Dirichlet energy of $u$ by solving the corresponding Euler-Lagrange PDE

$$\Delta_S u = 0$$

- Requires discretization of Laplace-Beltrami
- Compare to surface fairing
Discrete Harmonic Maps

- System of linear equations

\[ \forall v_i \in \mathcal{S} : \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{N}_1(v_i)} w_{ij} (u(v_j) - u(v_i)) \]

\[ w_{ij} = \cot \alpha_{ij} + \cot \beta_{ij} \]

- Properties of system matrix:
  - Symmetric + positive definite → unique solution
  - Sparse → efficient solvers
Discrete Harmonic Maps

• But…

• Does the same theory hold for discrete harmonic maps as for harmonic maps?

• In other words, is it possible for triangles to flip or become degenerate?
Convex Combination Maps

- If the linear equations are satisfied

\[
\sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{N}_1(v_i)} w_{ij} (u(v_j) - u(v_i))
\]

and if the weights satisfy

\[
w_{ij} > 0 \quad \land \quad \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{N}_1(v_i)} w_{ij} = 1
\]

then we get a convex combination mapping.
Convex Combination Maps

• Each \( u(v_i) \) is a convex combination of \( u(v_j) \)

\[
u(v_i) = \sum_{v_j \in N_1(v_i)} w_{ij} u(v_j)
\]

• If \( u : S \rightarrow \Omega \) is a convex combination map that maps the boundary \( \partial S \) homeomorphically to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) of a convex region \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), then \( u \) is one-to-one.
Convex Combination Maps

- **Uniform barycentric weights**
  \[ w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\text{valence}(v_i)} \]

- **Cotangent weights** ( > 0 if \( \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} < \pi \) )
  \[ w_{ij} = \cot(\alpha_{ij}) + \cot(\beta_{ij}) \]

- **Mean value weights**
  \[ w_{ij} = \frac{\tan(\delta_{ij}/2) + \tan(\gamma_{ij}/2)}{\|p_j - p_i\|} \]
  (no negative weights, even for obtuse angles)
• Comparison

original mesh  uniform weights  cotan weights (shape preserving)  mean value
Fixing the Boundary

- Choose a simple convex shape
  - Triangle, square, circle
- Distribute points on boundary
  - Use chord length parameterization

Fixed boundary can create high distortion
Open Boundary Mappings

- Include boundary vertices in the optimization
- Produces mappings with lower distortion
Open Boundary Mappings
Need disk-like topology

- Introduce cuts on the mesh
Naive Cut, Numerical Problems
Texture Atlas Generation

- Split model into number of patches (atlas)
  - because higher genus models cannot be mapped onto plane and/or
  - because distortion, the number of patches will be too high eventually

Texture Atlas Generation

- Split model into number of patches (atlas)
  - because higher genus models cannot be mapped onto plane and/or
  - because distortion, the number of patches will be too high eventually

seamless, continuous parameterization of genus-0 surfaces
Global Parameterization – Range Images
Constrained Parameterizations

• Book, Chapter 5

• Hormann et al.: Mesh Parameterization, Theory and Practice, Siggraph 2007 Course Notes

• Floater and Hormann: Surface Parameterization: a tutorial and survey, advances in multiresolution for geometric modeling, Springer 2005

• Hormann, Polthier, and Sheffer, Mesh Parameterization: Theory and Practice, SIGGRAPH Asia 2008 Course Notes
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