## CSCI 420: Computer Graphics

### 6.2 Bump Mapping

## \& Clipping

## Hao Li

## Bump Mapping

## A long time ago, in 1978



## ... bump mapping was born



## For Meshes


vertex normal interpolation


## What about accessing textures to modify surface normals...

## Goal

## Use bump map normals given a parametrized mesh



# Bump map normals are defined in a local coordinate frame inside a triangle 



We have positions, normals and parameters of the triangle corners


## How do we obtain coordinate frame?



## Some Differential Geometry



## Surface normals for shading



## Surface normals obtained from tangent space



## Tangent vectors inside triangles



## Fully determined from positions and parameters

we are not interested in $\mathbf{p}_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{1}=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u}+\left(v_{2}-v 1\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial v} \\
& \mathbf{p}_{3}-\mathbf{p}_{1}=\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u}+\left(v_{3}-v 1\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2x2 Matrix Inversion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{p}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{1}=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u}+\left(v_{2}-v 1\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial v} \\
\mathbf{p}_{3}-\mathbf{p}_{1}=\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u}+\left(v_{3}-v 1\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial v} \\
\downarrow \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{p}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{1} & \mathbf{p}_{3}-\mathbf{p}_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial v}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) & \left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right) \\
\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right) & \left(v_{3}-v_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

correct if mesh is planar

## Normals Interpolation (see Phong Shading)

$$
\mathbf{n}=\alpha_{1} \mathbf{n}_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{n}_{2}+\alpha_{3} \mathbf{n}_{3} \quad \text { from } \quad \mathbf{p}=\alpha_{1} \mathbf{p}_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{p}_{2}+\alpha_{3} \mathbf{p}_{3}
$$



## Tangent vectors orthogonal to normal



# We now have an inexpensive way to add geometric details 

Other bump mapping techniques exist

## Further Readings

- "Simulation of Wrinkled Surfaces" [Blinn 1978]
- "Real-Time Rendering" [Akenine-Möller and Haines 2002] p.166-177


## Clipping

## The Graphics Pipeline, Revisited



- Must eliminate objects that are outside of viewing frustum
- Clipping: object space (eye coordinates)
- Scissoring: image space (pixels in frame buffer)
- most often less efficient than clipping
- We will first discuss 2D clipping (for simplicity)
- OpenGL uses 3D clipping


## 2D Clipping Problem



## Clipping Against a Frustum

- General case of frustum (truncated pyramid)

- Clipping is tricky because of frustum shape


## Perspective Normalization

- Solution:
- Implement perspective projection by perspective normalization and orthographic projection
- Perspective normalization is a homogeneous transformation



## The Normalized Frustum

- OpenGL uses $-1 \leq x, y, z \leq 1$ (others possible)
- Clip against resulting cube
- Clipping against arbitrary (programmer-specified) planes requires more general algorithms and is more expensive


## The Viewport Transformation

- Transformation sequence again:

1. Camera: From object coordinates to eye coords
2. Perspective normalization: to clip coordinates
3. Clipping
4. Perspective division: to normalized device coords
5. Orthographic projection (setting $z_{p}=0$ )
6. Viewport transformation: to screen coordinates

- Viewport transformation can distort
- Solution: pass the correct window aspect ratio to gluPerspective


## Clipping

- General: 3D object against cube
- Simpler case:
- In 2D: line against square or rectangle
- Later: polygon clipping



## Clipping Against Rectangle in 2D

- Line-segment clipping: modify endpoints of lines to lie within clipping rectangle



## Clipping Against Rectangle in 2D

- The result (in red)



## Clipping Against Rectangle in 2D

- Could calculate intersections of line segments with clipping rectangle
- expensive, due to floating point multiplications and divisions
- Want to minimize the number of multiplications and divisions



## Several practical algorithms for clipping

- Main motivation:

Avoid expensive line-rectangle intersections
(which require floating point divisions)

- Cohen-Sutherland Clipping
- Liang-Barsky Clipping
- There are many more (but many only work in 2D)


## Cohen-Sutherland Clipping

- Clipping rectangle is an intersection of 4 half-planes

- Encode results of four half-plane tests
- Generalizes to 3 dimensions (6 half-planes)


## Outcodes (Cohen-Sutherland)

- Divide space into 9 regions
- 4-bit outcode determined by comparisons (TBRL)

| 1001 | 1000 | 1010 | $b_{0}: y>y_{\text {max }}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $b_{1}: y<y_{\text {min }}$ |  |  |  |

## Cases for Outcodes

- Outcomes: accept, reject, subdivide



## Cohen-Sutherland Subdivision

- Pick outside endpoint ( 0 = 0000)
- Pick a crossed edge ( $0=b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}$ and $b_{k} \neq 0$ )
- Compute intersection of this line and this edge
- Replace endpoint with intersection point
- Restart with new line segment
- Outcodes of second point are unchanged
- This algorithms converges


## Liang-Barsky Clipping

- Start with parametric form for a line

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha) & =(1-\alpha) p_{1}+\alpha p_{2}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\
x(\alpha) & =(1-\alpha) x_{1}+\alpha x_{2} \\
y(\alpha) & =(1-\alpha) y_{1}+\alpha y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Liang-Barsky Clipping

- Compute all four intersections 1,2,3,4 with extended clipping rectangle
- Often, no need to compute all four intersections



## Ordering of intersection points



- Order the intersection points
- Figure (a): $1>\alpha_{4}>\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}>0$
- Figure (b): $1>\alpha_{4}>\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{1}>0$


## Liang-Barsky Idea


(a)

(b)

- It is possible to clip already if one knows the order of the four intersection points !
- Even if the actual intersections were not computed!
- Can enumerate all ordering cases


## Liang-Barsky efficiency improvements

- Efficiency improvement 1:
- Compute intersections one by one
- Often can reject before all four are computed
- Efficiency improvement 2:
- Equations for $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{\max }=\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) y_{1}+\alpha_{3} y_{2} \\
x_{\min }=\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2} \\
\alpha_{3}=\frac{y_{\max }-y_{1}}{y_{2}-y_{1}} \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{x_{\min }-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Compare $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{2}$ without floating-point division


## Line-Segment Clipping Assessment

- Cohen-Sutherland
- Works well if many lines can be rejected early
- Recursive structure (multiple subdivisions) is a drawback
- Liang-Barsky
- Avoids recursive calls
- Many cases to consider (tedious, but not expensive)
- In general much faster than Cohen-Sutherland


## Outline

- Line-Segment Clipping
- Cohen-Sutherland
- Liang-Barsky
- Polygon Clipping
- Sutherland-Hodgeman
- Clipping in Three Dimensions


## Polygon Clipping

- Convert a polygon into one or more polygons
- Their union is intersection with clip window
- Alternatively, we can first tesselate concave polygons (OpenGL supported)



## Concave Polygons

- Approach 1: clip, and then join pieces to a single polygon - often difficult to manage

(a)

(b)

- Approach 2: tesselate and clip triangles
- this is the common solution



## Sutherland-Hodgeman (part 1)

- Subproblem:
- Input: polygon (vertex list) and single clip plane
- Output: new (clipped) polygon (vertex list)
- Apply once for each clip plane
- 4 in two dimensions
- 6 in three dimensions
- Can arrange in pipeline



## Sutherland-Hodgeman (part 2)

- To clip vertex list (polygon) against a half-plane:
- Test first vertex. Output if inside, otherwise skip.
- Then loop through list, testing transitions
- In-to-in: output vertex
- In-to-out: output intersection
- out-to-in: output intersection and vertex
- out-to-out: no output
- Will output clipped polygon as vertex list
- May need some cleanup in concave case
- Can combine with Liang-Barsky idea


## Other Cases and Optimizations

- Curves and surfaces
- Do it analytically if possible
- Otherwise, approximate curves / surfaces by lines and polygons
- Bounding boxes
- Easy to calculate and maintain
- Sometimes big savings

(a)

(b)


## Outline

- Line-Segment Clipping
- Cohen-Sutherland
- Liang-Barsky
- Polygon Clipping
- Sutherland-Hodgeman
- Clipping in Three Dimensions


## Clipping Against Cube

- Derived from earlier algorithms
- Can allow right parallelepiped



## Cohen-Sutherland in 3D

- Use 6 bits in outcode
- $\mathrm{b}_{4}: ~ z>Z_{\text {max }}$
- $\mathrm{b}_{5}: \mathbf{z}<Z_{\text {min }}$
- Other calculations as before



## Liang-Barsky in 3D

- Add equation $z(\alpha)=(1-\alpha) z_{1}+\alpha z_{2}$
- Solve, for $\mathbf{p o}_{0}$ in plane and normal $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(\alpha)=(1-\alpha) p_{1}+\alpha p_{2} \\
n \cdot\left(p(\alpha)-p_{0}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Yields

$$
\alpha=\frac{n \cdot\left(p_{0}-p_{1}\right)}{n \cdot\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)}
$$

- Optimizations as for Liang-Barsky in 2D


## Summary: Clipping

- Clipping line segments to rectangle or cube
- Avoid expensive multiplications and divisions
- Cohen-Sutherland or Liang-Barsky
- Polygon clipping
- Sutherland-Hodgeman pipeline
- Clipping in 3D
- essentially extensions of 2D algorithms


## Next Time

- Scan conversion
- Anti-aliasing
- Other pixel-level operations


## Thanks!



